

THE STRUCTURE OF CONNES' C^* – ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED TO A SUBCLASS OF MD_5 – GROUPS

LE ANH VU^{*}, DUONG QUANG HOA^{**}

ABSTRACT

The paper is a continuation of the authors' works [18], [19]. In [18], we consider foliations formed by the maximal dimensional K -orbits (MD_5 -foliations) of connected MD_5 -groups that their Lie algebras have 4-dimensional commutative derived ideals and give a topological classification of the considered foliations. In [19], we study K -theory of the leaf space of some of these MD_5 -foliations, analytically describe and characterize the Connes' C^ -algebras of the considered foliations by the method of K -functors. In this paper, we consider the similar problem for all remains of these MD_5 -foliations.*

Key words: Lie group, Lie algebra, MD_5 -group, MD_5 -algebra, K -orbit, Foliation, Measured foliation, C^* -algebra, Connes' C^* -algebras associated to a measured foliation.

TÓM TẮT

Cấu trúc các C^* – đại số Connes liên kết với một lớp con các MD_5 – nhóm

Bài báo này là công trình tiếp nối hai bài báo [18], [19] của các tác giả. Trong [18], chúng tôi đã xét các phân lá tạo thành bởi các K – quỹ đạo chiều cực đại (các MD_5 – phân lá) của các MD_5 – nhóm liên thông mà các đại số Lie của chúng có ideal dẫn xuất giao hoán 4 chiều và đưa ra một phân loại tô pô tất cả các MD_5 – phân lá được xét. Trong [19], chúng tôi đã nghiên cứu K – lý thuyết đối với không gian lá của một vài MD_5 – phân lá trong số đó, mô tả giải tích đồng thời đặc trưng các C^ – đại số của Connes liên kết với một số phân lá đó bằng phương pháp K – hàm tử. Trong bài này, chúng tôi xét bài toán tương tự đối với tất cả các MD_5 – phân lá còn lại.*

Từ khóa: Nhóm Lie, Đại số Lie, MD_5 -nhóm, MD_5 -đại số, K -quỹ đạo, Phân lá, Phân lá đo được, C^* -đại số, C^* -đại số Connes liên kết với một phân lá đo được.

1. Introduction

In the years of 1970s-1980s, the works of Diep [4], Rosenberg [10], Kasparov [7], Son and Viet [12], ... showed that K -functors are well adapted to characterize a large class of group C^* -algebras. In 1982, studying foliated manifolds, Connes [3] introduced the notion of C^* -algebra associated to a measured foliation. Once again, the method of K -functors has been proved as very effective in describing the structure of Connes' C^* -algebras in the case of Reeb foliations (see Torpe [14]).

^{*} Department of Mathematics and Economic Statistics, University of Economics and Law, Vietnam National University, Ho Chi Minh City

^{**} Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Ho Chi Minh City University of Education, Vietnam.

Kirillov's method of orbits (see [8, Section 15]) allows to find out the class of Lie groups MD, for which the group C*-algebras can be characterized by means of suitable K- functors (see [5]). Moreover, for every MD-group G, the family of K- orbits of maximal dimension forms a measured foliation in terms of Connes (see [3, Section 2, 5]). This foliation is called MD-foliation associated to G. Recall that an MD-group of dimension n (for short, an MD_n-group), in terms of Diep, is an n-dimensional solvable real Lie group whose orbits in the co-adjointing representation (i.e., the K-representation) are the orbits of zero or maximal dimension. The Lie algebra of an MD_n-group is called an MD_n-algebra (see [5, Section 4.1]).

Combining methods of Kirillov and Connes, the first author studied MD₄-foliations associated with all indecomposable connected MD₄-groups in [16]. Recently, Vu and Shum [17] have classified, up to isomorphism, all the 5-dimensional MD-algebras having commutative derived ideals.

In [18], we have given a topological classification of MD₅-foliations associated to the indecomposable connected and simply connected MD₅-groups, such that MD₅-algebras of them have 4-dimensional commutative derived ideals. There are exactly 3 topological types of considered MD₅-foliations which are denoted by **F**₁, **F**₂, **F**₃. All MD₅-foliations of type **F**₁ are the trivial fibrations with connected fibre on 3-dimensional sphere S³, so Connes' C*-algebras C*(**F**₁) of them are isomorphic to the C*-algebra C(S³) ⊗ K following [3, Section 5], where K denotes the C*-algebra of compact operators on an (infinite dimensional separable) Hilbert space.

In [19], we study K-theory of the leaf space and to characterize the structure of Connes' C*-algebra C*(**F**₂) of all MD₅-foliations of type **F**₂ by method of K-functors. The purpose of this paper is to study the similar problem for all MD₅-foliations of type **F**₃. Namely, we will express C*(**F**₃) for all MD₅-foliations of type **F**₃ by a single extension of the form

$$0 \rightarrow C_0(X) \otimes K \rightarrow C^*(\mathbf{F}_3) \rightarrow C_0(Y) \otimes K \rightarrow 0,$$

then we will compute the invariant system of C*(**F**₃) with respect to this extension. Note that if the given C*-algebra is isomorphic to the reduced crossed product of the form C₀(V) ⋈ H, where H is a Lie group, then we can use the Thom-Connes isomorphism to compute the connecting map δ₀, δ₁.

2. The MD₅-foliations of type **F**₃

Originally, we recall geometry of K-orbits of MD₅-groups which associate with MD₅-foliations of type **F**₃ (see [17]).

In this section, G will be always one of connected and simply connected MD₅-groups G_{5,4,14(λ,μ,φ)} which are studied in [17] and [18]. Then, the Lie algebra G of G will be the one of the Lie algebras G_{5,4,14(λ,μ,φ)} (see [17] or [18]). Namely, G is the

Lie algebra generated by $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}$ with $G^1 := [G, G] = \mathbb{R}.X_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}.X_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}.X_4 \oplus \mathbb{R}.X_5 \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ and $ad_{X_1} \in End(G^1) \cong Mat_4(\mathbb{R})$ as follows

$$ad_{X_1} := \begin{bmatrix} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & -\mu \\ 0 & 0 & \mu & \lambda \end{bmatrix}; \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \mu > 0, \varphi \in (0, \pi).$$

We now recall the geometric description of the K-orbits of G in the dual space G^* of G . Let $\{X_1^*, X_2^*, X_3^*, X_4^*, X_5^*\}$ be the basis in G^* dual to the basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}$ in G . Denote by Ω_F the K-orbit of G including $F = (\alpha, \beta + i\gamma, \delta + i\sigma)$ in $G^* \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^4$.

- If $\beta + i\gamma = \delta + i\sigma = 0$ then $\Omega_F = \{F\}$ (the 0-dimension orbit),
- If $|\beta + i\gamma|^2 + |\delta + i\sigma|^2 \neq 0$ then Ω_F is the 2-dimension orbit as follows

$$\Omega_F = \left\{ \left(x, (\beta + i\gamma).e^{(a.e^{-i\varphi})}, (\delta + i\sigma).e^{a(\lambda - i\mu)} \right), x, a \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

In [18], we show that, the family \mathbf{F} of maximal-dimension K-orbits of G forms measure foliation in terms of Connes on the open sub-manifold

$$V = \left\{ (x, y, z, t, s) \in G^* : y^2 + z^2 + t^2 + s^2 \neq 0 \right\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^4)^*.$$

Furthermore, all the foliations $\left\{ \left(V, F_{5.4.14(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)} \right), \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \mu > 0, \varphi \in (0; \pi) \right\}$, are topologically equivalent to each other and we denote them by \mathbf{F}_3 . So we only choose a “envoy” among them to describe the structure of $C^*(F_3)$ by K-functors. In this case, we choose the foliation $\left(V, F_{5.4.14\left(0.1, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)} \right)$.

In [18], we also describe the foliation $\left(V, F_{5.4.14\left(0.1, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)} \right)$ by suitable action of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Namely, we have the following assertion.

Proposition 2.1. *The foliation $\left(V, F_{5.4.14\left(0.1, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)} \right)$ can be given by an action of the commutative Lie group \mathbb{R}^2 on the manifold V .*

Proof. One needs only to verify that the foliation $\left(V, F_{5.4.14\left(0.1, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)} \right)$ is given by the action

$\lambda : \mathbb{R}^2 \times V \rightarrow V$ of \mathbb{R}^2 on V as follows

$$\lambda \left((r, a), (x, y + iz, t + is) \right) := \left(x + r, (y + iz) \cdot e^{-ia}, (t + is) \cdot e^{-ia} \right),$$

where $(r, a) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $(x, y + iz, t + is) \in V \cong \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})^* \cong \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^4)^*$. Hereafter, for

simply, we write \mathbf{F}_3 instead of $\left(V, F_{5.4.14\left(0.1, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)} \right)$.

It is easy to see that the graph of \mathbf{F}_3 is identified with $V \times \mathbb{R}^2$, so by [3, Section 5], it follows from Proposition 2.1 that

Corollary 2.2. (Analytical description of $C^*(\mathbf{F}_3)$) *The Connes' C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathbf{F}_3)$ can be analytically described by the reduced crossed product of $C_0(V)$ by \mathbb{R}^2 as follows*

$$C^*(\mathbf{F}_3) \cong C_0(V) \rtimes_{\lambda} \mathbb{R}^2.$$

3. $C^*(\mathbf{F}_3)$ as a single extension

3.1. Let V_1, W_1 be the following sub-manifolds of V

$$V_1 = \left\{ (x, y + iz, t + is) \in V : t + is \neq 0 \right\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*,$$

$$W_1 = V \setminus V_1 = \left\{ (x, y + iz, t + is) \in V : t + is = 0 \right\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*.$$

It is easy to see that the action λ in Proposition 2.1 preserves the subsets V_1, W_1 . Let i, μ be the inclusion and the restriction

$$i : C_0(V_1) \rightarrow C_0(V), \quad \mu : C_0(V) \rightarrow C_0(W_1).$$

where each function of $C_0(V_1)$ is extended to the one of $C_0(V)$ by taking the value of zero outside V_1 .

It is known a fact that i, μ are λ -equivariant and the following sequence is equivariantly exact:

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow C_0(V_1) \xrightarrow{i} C_0(V) \xrightarrow{\mu} C_0(W_1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

3.2. Now we denote by $(V_1, F_1), (W_1, F_1)$ restrictions of the foliations \mathbf{F}_3 on V_1, W_1 , respectively.

Theorem 3.1. $C^*(\mathbf{F}_3)$ admits the following canonical extension

$$(\gamma_1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow J \xrightarrow{\hat{i}} C^*(\mathbf{F}_3) \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}} B \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $J = C^*(V_1, F_1) \cong C_0(V_1) \rtimes_{\lambda} \square^2 \cong C_0(\square \times \square_+) \otimes K$,

$$B = C^*(W_1, F_1) \cong C_0(W_1) \rtimes_{\lambda} \square^2 \cong C_0(\square_+) \otimes K,$$

$$C^*(F_3) \cong C_0(V) \rtimes_{\lambda} \square^2.$$

and the homomorphism $\hat{i}, \hat{\mu}$ is defined by

$$(\hat{i}f)(r, s) = if(r, s), \quad (\hat{\mu}f)(r, s) = \mu f(r, s).$$

Proof. Note that the graph of F_3 is identified with $V \times \square^2$, so by [3, section 5], we have:

$$J = C^*(V_1, F_1) \cong C_0(V_1) \rtimes_{\lambda} \square^2,$$

$$B = C^*(W_1, F_1) \cong C_0(W_1) \rtimes_{\lambda} \square^2.$$

From λ -equivariantly exact sequence in 3.1 and by [2, Lemma 1.1] we obtain the single extension (γ_1) . Furthermore, the foliations (V_1, F_1) and (W_1, F_1) can be come from the submersions

$$p : V \cong \square \times \square \times \square^* \rightarrow \square \times \square_+ \quad \text{and} \quad q : W \cong \square \times \square^* \rightarrow \square_+ \\ (x, re^{i\varphi}, r'e^{i\varphi'}) \mapsto (re^{i\varphi}, r') \quad (x, re^{i\varphi}) \mapsto r$$

Hence, by a result of [3, p.562], we get

$$J = C^*(V_1, F_1) \cong C_0(V_1) \rtimes_{\lambda} \square^2 \cong C_0(\square \times \square_+) \otimes K,$$

$$B = C^*(W_1, F_1) \cong C_0(W_1) \rtimes_{\lambda} \square^2 \cong C_0(\square_+) \otimes K.$$

4. Computing the invariant system of $C^*(F_3)$

Definition 4.1. The set of element $\{\gamma_1\}$ corresponding to the single extension (γ_1) in the Kasparov group $\text{Ext}(B, J)$ is called *the system of invariant* of $C^*(F_3)$ and denoted by $\text{Index } C^*(F_3)$.

Remark 4.2. $\text{Index } C^*(F_3)$ determines the so-called table type of $C^*(F_3)$ in the set of all single extension

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0.$$

The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 4.3. $\text{Index } C^*(F_3) = \{\gamma_1\}$, where

$$\gamma_1 = (0, 1) \text{ in the group } \text{Ext}(B, J) = \text{Hom}(\square, \square) \oplus \text{Hom}(\square, \square).$$

To prove this theorem, we need some lemmas as follows

Lemma 4.4. Set $I = C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1)$ and $A = C(S^1)$.

The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & K_j(I) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C(S^3)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(A) \longrightarrow K_{j+1}(I) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow \beta_2 & & \downarrow \beta_2 & & \downarrow \beta_2 \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(V_1)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(V)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(W_1)) \longrightarrow K_{j+1}(C_0(V_1)) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

where β_2 is the Bott isomorphism, $j \in \mathbb{Z} / 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let

$$k : C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1) \longrightarrow C(S^3), \quad v : C(S^3) \longrightarrow C(S^1).$$

be the inclusion and restriction defined similarly as in 3.1.

One gets the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I \xrightarrow{k} C(S^3) \xrightarrow{v} A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that

$$C_0(V_1) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+) \otimes I$$

$$C_0(V) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^3) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+) \otimes C(S^3)$$

$$C_0(W_1) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+) \otimes C(S^1) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+) \otimes A$$

So, the extension (3.1) can be identified to the following one

$$0 \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes I \xrightarrow{Id \otimes k} C_0(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes C(S^3) \xrightarrow{Id \otimes v} C_0(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes A \rightarrow 0.$$

So, the assertion of lemma is derived from the naturalness of Bott isomorphism.

Remark 4.5.

i) $K_j(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1)) \cong K_j(C_0(S^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z} / 2\mathbb{Z}.$

ii) $K_j(C(S^3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z} / 2\mathbb{Z}.$

iii) $K_0(C(S^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\varphi_0 \beta_2 [1]$, $K_1(C(S^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\varphi_1 \beta_2 [Id]$ (where 1 is a unit element in $C(S^1)$; $\varphi_j, j \in \mathbb{Z} / 2\mathbb{Z}$, is the Thom-Connes isomorphism; Id is the identity of S^1).

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Recall that the extension (γ_1) in theorem 3.1 gives the rise to a six-term exact sequence

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(J) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C^*(\mathbf{F}_3)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) \\ \delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 \\ K_1(B) & \longleftarrow & K_1(C^*(\mathbf{F}_3)) & \longleftarrow & K_1(J) \end{array}$$

By [11, Theorem 4.14], the isomorphism

$$Ext(B, J) \cong Hom_{\square}(K_0(B), K_1(J)) \oplus Hom_{\square}(K_1(B), K_0(J))$$

associates the invariant $\gamma_1 \in Ext(B, J)$ to the pair

$$(\delta_0, \delta_1) \in Hom_{\square}(K_0(B), K_1(J)) \oplus Hom_{\square}(K_1(B), K_0(J)).$$

Since the Thom-Connes isomorphism commutes with K-theoretical exact sequence (see [14, Lemma 3.4.3]), we have the following commutative diagram ($j \in \square / 2\square$):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & K_j(J) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C^*(\mathbf{F}_3)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(B) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \uparrow \varphi_j & & \uparrow \varphi_j & & \uparrow \varphi_j & & \uparrow \varphi_{j+1} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(V_1)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(V)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(W_1)) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(C_0(V_1)) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

In view of Lemma 4.4, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(V_1)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(V)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(W_1)) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(C_0(V_1)) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \uparrow \beta_2 & & \uparrow \beta_2 & & \uparrow \beta_2 & & \uparrow \beta_2 \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & K_j(I) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C(S^3)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(A) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(I) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

Consequently, instead of computing the pair (δ_0, δ_1) from the direct sum $Hom_{\square}(K_0(B), K_1(J)) \oplus Hom_{\square}(K_1(B), K_0(J))$, it is sufficient to compute the pair $(\delta_0, \delta_1) \in Hom_{\square}(K_0(A), K_1(I)) \oplus Hom_{\square}(K_1(A), K_0(I))$. In other words, the six-term exact sequence (4.1) can be identified with the following one

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(C_0(\square^2 \times S^1)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C(S^3)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C(S^1)) \\ \delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 \\ K_1(C(S^1)) & \longleftarrow & K_1(C(S^3)) & \longleftarrow & K_1(C_0(\square^2 \times S^1)) \end{array}$$

By remark 3.5, this sequence becomes

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \square & \longrightarrow & \square & \longrightarrow & \square \\ \delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 \\ \square & \longleftarrow & \square & \longleftarrow & \square \end{array}$$

By the exactness, the sequence (4.3) will be the one of the following ones

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \square & \xrightarrow{0} & \square & \xrightarrow{1} & \square \\ \delta_1 = 1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 = 0 \\ \square & \xleftarrow{0} & \square & \xleftarrow{1} & \square \end{array}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \square & \xrightarrow{1} & \square & \xrightarrow{0} & \square \\ \delta_1 = 0 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 = 1 \\ \square & \xleftarrow{1} & \square & \xleftarrow{0} & \square \end{array}$$

Now we choose $a = e^{i\varphi} \in GL_1(C(S^1))$, $b = a^{-1}$. Then

$$a \oplus b = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\varphi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\varphi} \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2^0(C(S^1)).$$

Let $u = u(x, y, z, t) = u(\cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \cos \varphi, \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \sin \varphi, \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2, \sin \theta_1)$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\varphi} \cdot e^{i\theta_1} \cdot \cos \theta_2 & -\sin \theta_2 \\ \sin \theta_2 & e^{-i\varphi} \cdot e^{-i\theta_1} \cdot \cos \theta_2 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2^0(C(S^3))$$

is a pre-image of $a \oplus b$. So, $u^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\varphi} e^{-i\theta_1} \cos \theta_2 & \sin \theta_2 \\ -\sin \theta_2 & e^{i\varphi} e^{i\theta_1} \cos \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Let $q = I_1 \oplus 0_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. We get

$$p = uqu^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2 \theta_2 & e^{i\varphi} e^{i\theta_1} \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta_2 \\ e^{-i\varphi} e^{-i\theta_1} \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta_2 & \sin^2 \theta_2 \end{bmatrix} \in P_2(C_0(\square^2 \times S^1))^+.$$

Then $rank(p) = 1$. So $\delta_1([a]) = [p] - [I_1] \neq 0 \in K_0(C_0(\square^2 \times S^1))$. Therefore, K-theoretical exact sequence associate to (γ_1) is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \square & \xrightarrow{0} & \square & \xrightarrow{1} & \square \\ \delta_1 = 1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 = 0 \\ \square & \xleftarrow{0} & \square & \xleftarrow{1} & \square \end{array}$$

The proof is completed.

REFERENCES

1. Brown L. G.; Douglas R. G., Fillmore P. A. (1977), “Extension of C^* -algebra and K-homology”, *Ann. of Math*, **105**, pp. 265 – 324.
2. Connes A. (1981), “An Analogue of the Thom Isomorphism for Crossed Products of a C^* -algebra by an Action of \mathbb{Z} ”, *Adv. In Math.*, **39**, pp. 31 – 55.
3. Connes A. (1982), “A Survey of Foliations and Operator Algebras”, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Mathematics*, **38**, pp. 521 – 628.
4. Diep D. N. (1975), “Structure of the group C^* -algebra of the group of affine transformations of the line”, *Funktsional. Anal. I Prilozhen*, **9**, pp. 63 – 64 (in Russian).
5. Diep D. N. (1999), *Method of Non-commutative Geometry for Group C^* -algebras. Research Notes in Mathematics Series*, vol. **416**. Cambridge: Chapman and Hall-CRC Press.
6. Gelfand I., Naimark A. (1943), “On the imbedding of normed rings into the ring of operators in Hilbert space”, *Mat. sb.*, **12**, pp. 197 – 213 (in Russian).
7. Kasparov G. G. (1981), “The operator K-functor and extensions of C^* -algebras”, *Math. USSR Izvestija*, **16**(3), pp. 513 – 572.
8. Kirillov A. A. (1976), *Elements of the Theory of Representations*, Springer – Verlag Pub., Berlin – Heidenberg – New York.
9. Rordam, M., Larsen F., Laustsen N. (2000), *An Introduction to K -Theory for C^* -Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom.
10. Rosenberg J. (1976), “The C^* -algebras of some real p-adic solvable groups”, *Pacific J. Math.*, **65**(1), pp. 175 – 192.
11. Rosenberg J. (1982), “Homological invariants of extension of C^* -algebras”, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **38**, AMS Providence R.I., pp. 35 – 75.
12. Son V. M. ; Viet H. H. (1984), “Sur la structure des C^* -algebres d’une classe de groupes de Lie”, *J. Operator Theory*, **11**, pp. 77 – 90.
13. Taylor J. L., *Banach Algebras and Topology* (1975), Academic Press in Algebras and Analysis, New York, pp 118–186.
14. Torpe A. M. (1985), “K-theory for the Leaf Space of Foliations by Reeb Component”, *J. Func. Anal.*, **61**, pp. 15-71.
15. Vu L. A. (1990), "On the structure of the C^* -Algebra of the Foliation formed by the K -Orbits of maximal dimension of the Real Diamond Group", *Journal of Operator theory*, **24**, pp. 227–238.
16. Vu L. A. (1990), *The foliation formed by the K – orbits of Maximal Dimension of the MD4-group*, PhD Thesis , Ha Noi (in Vietnamese).

(Continued page 53)

17. Vu L. A., Shum K. P. (2008), “Classification of 5-dimensional MD-algebra having commutative derived ideals”, *Advances in Algebra and Combinatorics*, Singapore: World Scientific co, pp. 353-371.
18. Vu L. A., Hoa D. Q. (2009), “The topology of foliations formed by the generic K-orbits of a subclass of the indecomposable MD₅-groups”, *Science in China, series A: Mathematics*, **52** (2), pp. 351-360.
19. Vu L. A.; Hoa D. Q. (2010), “K-theory of the leaf space of foliations formed by the generic K-orbits of some indecomposable MD₅-groups”, *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics*, **38** (2), pp. 249 – 259.